

Minutes of the meeting of the Logan Municipal Council convened in regular session on Tuesday, February 1, 2022, in the Logan Municipal Council Chambers located at 290 North 100 West, Logan, Utah 84321 at 5:30 pm. Logan Municipal Council Meetings are televised live as a public service on Channel 17 and the City of Logan YouTube channel at: https://www.youtube.com/channel/UCFLPAOK5eawKS_RDBU0stRQ

Council Members present at the beginning of the meeting: Chair Jeannie F. Simmonds, Vice Chair Ernesto López, Councilmember Amy Z. Anderson, Councilmember Tom Jensen, and Councilmember Mark A. Anderson. Administration present: Mayor Holly H. Daines, Assistant City Attorney Craig Carlston, Finance Director Richard Anderson, City Recorder Teresa Harris, and Deputy City Recorder Esli Morales.

Excused: City Attorney Kymber Housley.

Chair Jeannie F. Simmonds welcomed those present. There were approximately 6 in attendance at the beginning of the meeting.

OPENING CEREMONY:

Rigo Chaparro with the Latino Business Association of Northern Utah gave the opening ceremony and led the audience in the pledge of allegiance.

Mr. Chaparro stated that he along with Vice Chair López, Justin Nuñez and others formed a Latino Business Initiative to help Latino business owners. The organization teaches basic accounting principles, marketing skills, networking, etc. The association began only four months ago to meet with just three members and has since grown to 24 members with half being members of the community showing their support. There is a Scholarship Presentation for younger Latino students who don't have ACT scores to attend a practical technical college such as Bridgerland. The goal is to move up from the students into creating successful business owners in the valley. The organization meets every 2nd Wednesday at 6:30 p.m. at a conference room within Workforce Services.

Meeting Minutes. Minutes of the Council meeting held on January 18, 2022, were reviewed and approved with no corrections.

Meeting Agenda. Chair Simmonds announced there are two public hearings scheduled for tonight's Council meeting.

ACTION. Motion by Councilmember A. Anderson seconded by Councilmember Jensen to approve the January 18, 2022, minutes and approve tonight's agenda. Motion carried unanimously.

Meeting Schedule. Chair Simmonds announced that regular Council meetings are held on the first and third Tuesdays of the month at 5:30 pm. The next regular Council meeting is Tuesday, February 15, 2022.

QUESTIONS AND COMMENTS FOR MAYOR AND COUNCIL:

Chair Simmonds explained that any person wishing to comment on any item not otherwise on the agenda may address the City Council at this point by stepping to the microphone and giving his or her name and address for the record. Comments should be limited to not more than three (3) minutes unless additional time is authorized by the Council Chair. Citizen groups will be asked to appoint a spokesperson. This is the time and place for any person who wishes to comment on non-agenda items. Some items brought forward to the attention of the City Council will be turned over to staff to respond outside of the City Council meeting.

John Shivih, a resident of Logan was inspired by a recent walk on the Highline Trail and the quality of life within the valley. As the City continues to develop, he asked the Council to remember to protect and maintain the heart of Logan.

There were no further comments or questions for the Mayor or Council.

MAYOR/STAFF REPORTS:

Board Appointment (Library Board) – Mayor Daines

Mayor Daines asked the Council for ratification to appoint Frank Stewart to serve on the Library Board which is a new appointment and is a term of three years.

ACTION. Motion by Councilmember Jensen seconded by Councilmember M. Anderson to approve ratification of Frank Stewart as presented. Motion carried unanimously.

Power Needs and Base Load Power Availability – Mark Montgomery, Light & Power Director and Yuqi Zhao, Light & Power Resource Manager

Yuqi Zhao addressed the Council regarding the power needs and baseload power availability due to the anticipated Summer 2022 power shortage.

Currently, there is a 15 MW Base Load and 10 MW High Load contract that is set to end in March 2022. The city will be roughly 25 to 35 MW short for the summer months. Luckily, they were able to find a few vendors during April and May for 10 MW Baseload to be brought from DGT for \$40/MWH. DGT can provide 10 MW from October 22 to March 23 at \$54/MWH.

Unfortunately, they are unable to find resources to fill in the gap from June to September. There is an option of 11MWP if IPP can store enough coal to operate at 80% CF from July to September. In the coming summer, the valley will need more water to lower the market price otherwise we are looking at a 15 MW Baseload of \$33/MWH. This is due to the CRSP - Hydro Power Generation shortage due to drought at Lake Powell because water evaluation continues to drop and as of January 27, 2022, the level reading is 3532. Once the CRSP water level reaches 3525 and below CRSP will be unable to generate power.

The low water levels directly affect CRSP's available power and energy affecting winter for 2021 and the upcoming summer season. The winter capacity during the last year was reduced by 45% last winter and depending on this year's snowpack there may not be summer CRSP hydropower.

Current Block Energy Purchase Problems:

1. Uncertainty of upcoming available power sources
2. Block Purchase - high energy price.
3. Short on sellers - cannot find sellers.

Solutions:

We will continue to search for energy blocks to buy at a lower price. The highest power costs are from July to September. The vendor, HL's price quoted as of this month (January) is \$205/MWH from July to September.

We can buy monthly energy at the index price plus trader's markup-volatile and high price.

Updates: We were able to buy 10 MW for April and May for \$40/MWH and October 22 to March 23 for a weighted average at \$53.87/MWH from DGT. However, as of yet, we are unable to purchase June to September a product at a reasonable price. The current (market index is \$112-\$213.65) and we need 15-20 MW baseload, and 10 MW high load.

There is also the concern that as the system load increases which will be via the new commercial and residential customers adding in. There is also an additional 5MW increase for the coming summer which is expected to be added to the calculation.

Mayor Daines stated that normally the city would not be looking for projects that involve coal. It is a carbon capture technology, but with scant resources there are not many viable options during winter months and that is only permitting that the project is up and running. The city would be glad to invest in geothermal, but not a single plan has come to fruition. Nuclear Power is in the same situation not at present being a viable project. And in the short-term, there are simply not many viable options.

Mark Montgomery, Light & Power Director said that the City's current resource plan is to find it and buy it, but everyone in the nation is currently doing the same. The freeze last year in Texas caused prices to shoot up especially in the West. A cost-of-service analyst will be hired this summer to actually see what the cost of service is doing. There have not been any rate increases since he became the Light & Power Director over ten years ago. However, in a year or two, there will likely be a rate increase if these high MWH power costs remain.

Mr. Montgomery explained that regarding the ENCHANT program, Logan City is not part of the project. The coal plant will be shut down in June of 2022, but a private company will purchase the coal plant to perform carbon capture. Mr. Montgomery said that regardless we have signed up as much as energy as possible for the city which is 15 MW. The contract will be for 15 years and will help close the current existing gap. An agreement will be brought before the council in roughly three months to approve a power purchase agreement.

Mayor Daines interjected that currently, the city is looking at an experimental storage battery project. The city is attempting to get away from fossil fuels, but that is a long-term goal rather than a short one.

Mr. Montgomery explained that the experimental storage battery project is a small installation of 129 KW. It is a small installation to see how it would function with dispatch, how it would offset peaks during the middle of the day or shift to another time of day, how it works in an emergency, etc. The hope is that as the battery scale grows larger and cheaper, it will become a viable source of energy.

Councilmember Jensen said that the Council had been concerned with the nuclear plant because the costs had not been single. He inquired if any of the questions and concerns have been answered or followed up with.

Mr. Montgomery answered that what he has learned is that the facility would be a 700 MWH facility. There would be four reactors, but the issue is that it has not been built nor even to factor in the cost to operate such a facility.

Chair Simmonds asked if there was any interest in the nuclear project by investors.

Mayor Daines responded that Warren Buffet is trying to raise funds for a project in Wyoming.

Mr. Montgomery replied that the Warren Buffet project would be several years behind in technology not to mention the time needed before it becomes functional.

Chair Simmonds inquired if it is time to discuss energy savings especially as the city continues to grow.

Mr. Montgomery answered that on the pie chart of electric growth, Lehi was only 14% while Logan was the highest with 16%. There is an increased pressure on the city to provide more power, but there is also difficulty in finding reasonable priced power base on the market.

Vice Chair López asked if the city faces a summer shortage and is required to buy power during the summer months how much will that cost each resident.

Mr. Montgomery replied that first it will depend on how much the MW costs. A rough estimate to the average homeowner it would be a \$14 dollar increase. However, for a commercial businesses especially larger companies like Gossner's, it will be in the thousands.

Councilmember Jensen inquired about electrical competitors such as Rocky Mountain Power.

Mr. Montgomery responded that the City's rates are lower than our competitors, but the advantage of Rocky Mountain is that they own their own generation. Their organization is sufficiently large enough to observe solar power into their grid system. There will hopefully come a day when the City can also have sufficient solar power.

Mayor Daines said that power conservation has been mentioned including how to educate the public and provide incentives.

Mr. Montgomery added that currently there are programs in place for eco-friendly appliances and so forth, but other creative incentives can certainly be applied.

No further Mayor/Staff Reports were presented.

COUNCIL BUSINESS:

Planning Commission Update – Chair Simmonds

Chair Simmonds reported on the Planning Commission meeting that was held on January 27, 2022. Westfield Loft's was reviewed and approved to include 26 residential units on the upper floor and commercial on the bottom floor in the commercial zone. Blue Spring's Business Park design review of their building 1 and 2 was approved. This will be a warehouse of 189,739 sq. and the other will be 63,034 sq. There was also a discussion and approval of the Land Development Code of short-term rentals that will come before the Council in a few weeks.

No further Council Business items were presented.

ACTION ITEMS:

PUBLIC HEARING - Budget Adjustment FY 2021-2022 appropriating: \$77,435 State Alcohol funds for police enforcement; \$100,223 wildland fire reimbursements received; \$5,948 funds for a grant Parks & Recreation received fromUCAIR to replace a four-stroke utility maintenance vehicle with an electric vehicle – Resolution 22-02 – Richard Anderson, Finance Director

At the January 18, 2022, Council meeting, Finance Director Richard Anderson presented the proposed budget adjustments to the Council.

Chair Simmonds opened the meeting to a public hearing.

There were no public comments and Chair Simmonds closed the public hearing.

ACTION. Motion by Councilmember Jensen seconded by Councilmember A. Anderson to approve Resolution 22-02 as presented. Motion carried unanimously.

PUBLIC HEARING - Consideration of a proposed ordinance amending Section 12.28.050 of the Logan Municipal Code Regulating Watercourse Maintenance – Ordinance 22-02 – Mohamed Abdullahi, Assistance City Attorney

At the January 18, 2022, Council meeting, Assistant City Attorney Abdullahi addressed the Council regarding the proposed ordinance. He explained it is proposed that portions of the ordinance be amended and that he, Mayor Daines, and Assistant City Attorney Craig Carlston met with citizens whose property was damaged due to maintenance that had occurred. Proposed changes are the following:

12.28.050: WATERCOURSE MAINTENANCE:

A. It is unlawful for any person, firm or corporation, whether public or private, owning, having the charge of or operating any watercourse, including rivers, streams, ditches, canals, or drainage systems within the corporate limits of the city of Logan to allow the same to become dangerous or defective, or to allow, suffer or permit water at or in such canal or watercourse to leak, percolate or flow therefrom to and upon the public streets or sidewalks of the city of Logan, to suffer or permit such water to accumulate in swampy or stagnant pools injurious and detrimental to public health, or to damage other public or private property. It is made the duty of the several owners, masters or agent of any watercourse, upon notice from the public works director, ~~or the director's designated agent,~~ or the impacted property owner to the effect that any watercourse under his, her or its care requires maintenance, to immediately remedy the defective condition of such watercourse. ~~Where such maintenance requires access onto or through private property, if there is a substantial likelihood during the course of such maintenance that the owner, master, or agent of a watercourse will require access onto or through private property outside of the easement's extent or width, or will cause any person or thing to cross onto private property outside of the easement's extent or width,~~ notice of the need for access shall be given to the necessary property owners within ~~twenty-four (24)~~ forty-eight (48) hours of the planned access.

B. All owners or occupants of property in the city of Logan having watercourses running in front, besides, behind, or through their property are required to allow or provide maintenance and keep such watercourses clean so that the full stream of the water therein, whether irrigation or stormwater, shall not cause the watercourses to overflow or become unreasonably restricted to

the injury of streets, sidewalks, or other public or private property. Where property owners cannot or choose not to allow ditch users, canal companies, or the city of Logan ~~to access onto or through private property outside of the easement's extent or width enter their property~~ to provide such maintenance, the property owners are solely responsible to provide this maintenance. All owners or occupants of property under this requirement shall respond upon request to ~~either the owner of the watercourse or the city of Logan the notifying party,~~ within twenty-four (24) hours of ~~receiving~~ such notice stating whether they will allow access or provide the maintenance to the watercourse and a schedule within which they shall provide the required maintenance. (Ord. 13-23, 2013)

Assistant Attorney Abdullahi summarized the contents of the proposed ordinance amending watercourse maintenance to include notification time of property owners and addresses trespass of private property of the owner by the canal company and/or other entities enter via any form whether physical to even use that of a drone they are responsible for property damage.

Chair Simmonds requested clarification on whether an easement is a legal dedicated access point.

Mr. Abdullahi clarified if there were another access point as long as they remain within the scope of the easement and as long as they do not enter private property.

Councilmember Jensen asked if the City or any other entity must onto an easement should there be language in the code to state that damage made by the entity must be restored or repairs must be made to the property.

Mr. Abdullahi responded that there is already code in existence that states that if the City or another entity causes property damage the entity must repair any damages made prior to them accessing the property. Yet that is only the property outside of the easement not inside the easement.

Councilmember A. Anderson asked for further clarification on the notification period.

Mr. Abdullahi answered that the notification period has been extended from 24 hours to 48 hours.

Councilmember Jensen remarked that notification does not apply in the case of an emergency.

Mr. Abdullahi replied that was indeed correct in the case of an emergency.

Chair Simmonds opened the meeting to a public hearing.

Logan resident Lou Ann Exum Sakaki addressed the Council and expressed that she had misunderstood the notification process but had since learned that it had actually been extended to 48 hours which she appreciates.

Anne Shifer, a resident of Logan stated that the canal company removed their trees aerially without notification.

Mr. Abdullahi explained that the reason for the change in code language is to include situations like that of resident Anne Shifer. The exception is that of an easement, but just as was stated previously, that if the property is out of the easement and damage occurs the entity must make repairs.

There were no further public comments and Chair Simmonds closed the public hearing.

Councilmember A. Anderson expressed concern if the Canal company or other entity does not provide sufficient notification.

Mr. Abdullahi answered that if the other entity does not provide either a written or verbal notification (via telephone) then it is a Class B Misdemeanor.

Chair Simmonds asked who the Class B Misdemeanor would be against.

Mr. Abdullahi responded that the Misdemeanor would be issued by the City against the individual who violated the ordinance, while damages would be a private civil matter.

Vice Chair López asked that if the property owner denies permission and access to the property would that also be a violation.

Mr. Abdullahi replied that would also be a violation and a Class B Misdemeanor.

Chair Simmonds inquired in the case that the homeowner denies maintenance of the property if the property owner would be required to perform maintenance according to the easement holder.

Mr. Abdullahi answered that the homeowner is required to maintain and repair the easement area according to the easement holder's standards at the property owner's own cost.

Vice Chair López asked what types of notifications are available to be made to the homeowner.

Mr. Abdullahi responded that there was no specific language. The notification process could be a written notification, a phone call, a physical conversation, etc.

Councilmember Jensen asked what about presenting any evidence of the notification. He gave the example of a phone call and the resident denying that a phone call was made.

Mr. Abdullahi replied at juncture there is no such language, but if the Council feels that language is necessary it can be added into the code.

Craig Carlston, Assistant City Attorney interjected that the entity can already act in such a manner on their own and that there is no need for such legislation to be written in.

Chair Simmonds inquired if the notification given must also be presented to the city.

Mr. Carlston answered that is not the case.

The Council discussed notification formats and whether alternative notification formats could be used in the event the homeowner cannot be reached. The Council discussed the issues with mail notification or even if the resident is out. There is planning that must be considered, but the entities typically also plan these events for some time.

Mr. Carlston explained that the challenge with that is balancing the property rights of the homeowner and that of the entities performing their duties. The Council can require the types of noticing being made.

ACTION. Motion by Councilmember Jensen seconded by Vice Chair López to adopt Ordinance 22-02 as presented. Motion carried unanimously.

WORKSHOP ITEMS:

Budget Adjustment FY 2021-2022 appropriating: \$2,460 wildland fire reimbursements received; (\$3,000,000); \$980,000 allocation of ARPA grant funds to pay for land acquisition for the fire station project; decrease the water allocation and increase the capital project allocation; \$10,000,000 revenue recognition of the ARPA grant standard allowance – Resolution 22-06 – Richard Anderson, Finance Director

Finance Director Richard Anderson addressed the Council regarding the proposed budget adjustments.

Chair Simmonds inquired why the funds for the ARPA grant were being moved all at once.

Mr. Anderson responded that they are complying with federal requirements, but the changes in the new guidelines stated that for the funds to be used for public benefit projects they must first be placed in the general fund.

The proposed resolution will be an action item and public hearing at the February 15, 2022, Council meeting.

REZONE – Consideration of a proposed rezone of approximately 11.13 acres of property located at 1262 West 2200 South from Resource Consideration (RC) to Commercial (COM) in the Woodruff Neighborhood – Ordinance 22-03 – Russ Holley, Planner

Planner Russ Holley addressed the Council regarding the proposed rezone of approximately 11.62-acres (three parcels) from RC to COM along the south side of 2200 South near the intersection of Highway 89/91. The land is being used for agricultural and residential uses with the existing home being built in 1955. Other than two agricultural accessory buildings and two ponds, the property is currently vacant. The Future Land Use Plan (FLUP), adopted in 2008, identifies this property as Commercial (COM). and considering its proximity to the highway, the current low-density residential land use is not a viable long-term solution. As development on these properties is proposed, the South Highway Overlay, sensitive lands, and other constraints will need to be addressed during the design review phase. Being surrounded on two sides by the City of Nibley and existing development, the site layout and circulation will need to account for these issues. With the 2200 South corridor developing rapidly, this property will likely be developed in the near future. Staff is comfortable with the rezone request as this is consistent with

the Logan City FLUP and is an appropriate land use considering the context of the area. The rezone is consistent with the existing city pattern of aligning the bulk of commercial zoning along Main Street and Highway 89/91. The only comments received were from Nibley City outlining their concerns about a shared sewer line running through the site and the existing ponds collecting stormwater run-off. These were technical issues and would be addressed during the design review process, but at this time, there is not a pending project. On January 13, 2022, the Planning Commission recommended that the Municipal Council approve the 2200 South Rezone (5-0).

RECOMMENDED FINDINGS FOR APPROVAL

1. The Logan City FLUP identifies the area as COM.
2. This area is positioned along Highway 89/91 with commercial uses to the north.
3. Infrastructure and access is located nearby and has the ability to adequately serve the future development of this property.
4. Design incompatibles with surrounding areas can be mitigated through Design Review processes.

Mike DeSimone, Community Development Director summarized the rezone request.

Chair Simmonds asked for clarification on the number of ponds and if they need to be wetland delineated. If the water is part of irrigation, the ponds cannot be covered or destroyed.

Mr. DeSimone answered that there are three ponds on the property and will need to be delineated once there is a project in place as there is irrigation to the South. The current discussion is merely a potential rezone, there is no specific project in place.

Councilmember A. Anderson asked what the setbacks are for commercial.

Mr. DeSimone replied that 10 ft. to the front, 5 ft. for the sides and rear. The south corridor will be built into the property, but the sides below it will not be affected. There is a sewer line that runs along the property that will be looked at and based on the type of use that is put in will base the type of infrastructure.

Councilmember Jensen remarked that the property directly south in Nibley appears to be commercial.

Mr. DeSimone answered that the property directly to the south is the school, Thomas Edison. The property directly to the left is CampSaver to the North which, is a small retailer.

The proposed ordinance will be an action item and public hearing at the February 15, 2022, Council meeting.

Consideration of a proposed resolution to approve fee increases in the Parks and Recreation Department – Resolution 22-04 – Russ Akina, Parks & Recreation Director

Parks & Recreation Director Russ Akina addressed the Council regarding the proposed fee increases. The Parks and Recreation Department delivers services to the general public and citizens of Logan in the form of programs, events, facilities, and services. The department charges fees to offset operation costs. Of the 742 services offered, 419 of them are fee-based. The increase in fees is due to being unable to provide current services at the continued existing budget.

With labor shortages, the city cannot afford to pay to acquire labor and wages must be increased in order to retain or find employees to fill these roles in order to provide services to the public. This includes fee services and free services (which are providing maintenance services such as public restrooms).

Supplies have also increased in cost such as toilet paper, paper towels, cleaning supplies, fertilizer, etc. And the third increase is related to the supply chain. There is outstripping of available resources. There are items that are common between facility services and others such as tablet chlorine for the outdoor pool. An example is requiring an order to be placed without knowing the price due to supply demands. Last year, it was \$64,000 for chlorine tablets to keep the pool facility operational.

The fees being discussed is only one line item that is part of the general fund of the Parks and Recreation Department. The department is a general fund operation and relies to a certain degree on using fee services to offset costs. An example was during the past year to create reservation fees for the recently constructed pickleball course.

Chair Simmonds asked for clarification on the reason as to why the pool facility reservation had increased, but the individual price had not.

Mr. Akina responded that a maximum of 1200 individuals can be at the pool at any time. The fee for a pool facility reservation was raised to match that as for most groups divided per the amount in attendance is roughly the same amount as an individual pool entrance fee. The pool operates on a 17-hour day. The use of the pool is lower at the start and end of the day but is higher in the middle of the day from 1 to 4 p.m. As such the consultation report recommended that the price increase for larger group reservations but maintain the current price for individual pool entry.

Chair Simmonds inquired if there was no reason to change the adult punch pass for the Recreation Center or the youth's daily admission charge.

Mr. Akina replied that the two charges were placed in the fee schedule to provide a comparison to other fee facilities.

Councilmember Jensen asked if the Parks and Recreation Department was having difficulties staffing the department.

Mr. Akina answered that they are having difficulties just as any other employer in the valley and they are currently short-staffed.

Councilmember A. Anderson inquired what the estimated increase in services would be for 2022.

Mr. Akina responded that it will be about 40% in services assuming there are no changes in usership. There has been an off-the-chart increase in outdoor usership since the start of Covid. Then there is the labor concern as the weather warms up.

Councilmember A. Anderson asked for confirmation on when cemetery fees were last raised.

Mr. Akina replied that the cemetery fees were last partially increased 3 years ago.

Vice Chair López asked if the increase in fees will help in keeping up with daily operations or if the fees will barely help keep services afloat.

Mr. Akina answered that they can't be certain at this time as the orders with some suppliers currently have no fixed monetary amount such as in the case with the pool tablets.

The proposed resolution will be an action item and public hearing at the February 15, 2022, Council meeting.

Consideration of a proposed resolution to revise Parking Violation Civil Penalties – Resolution 22-05 – Police Chief Gary Jensen

Police Chief Gary Jensen addressed the Council regarding the proposed resolution. He said the Logan City Police Department is the primary enforcement agency for all parking enforcement issues within Logan City. Logan City parking civil penalties have not been adjusted since 2012. As such, Logan Police is proposing an increase and revision to civil penalties for parking violations to be in line revenues. Cost increases over the past nine years have gone up steadily. Additionally, the police department needs to change their software and software vendor for parking enforcement.

The old software vendor does not match the current needs of the city. The new software vendor not only is at a similar price but offers both the citizens and the Police department variable options. An example is the parking permits area, the data of the permit can be viewed on a Parking Officer's phone. The application is much more user-friendly. Currently, there are roughly 300 citations that have yet to be paid. The software company automatically sends a response to the registered owner's address requesting payment.

The current fees are rather minimal in comparison to parking infractions with other cities that are like us such as Ogden, Provo, etc. Provo charges double that of which we charge. Several of the cities have three tiers such as after a certain amount of days the fee will increase. We don't desire to do so but rather simply change the current fee of \$25 to \$35 and a late fine from \$45 to \$55. And unlike three tiers we are still charging less than the possible maximum.

Vice Chair Simmonds inquired about the reasoning behind the lack of a third tier for fees.

Chief Jensen responded that it is to maintain simplicity.

Vice Chair Simmonds expressed concern that an individual that pays late and even up to 100 days late will still pay the same exact fee. It seems rather unfair.

Chief Jensen said that all citations of a certain percent go unpaid. He doesn't want to have to police every fee. Increasing the late fee to say \$100 dollars will be just one more burden upon the department. Nor is there a desire to complicate things for the public and visitors to the city.

Councilmember A. Anderson asked how a citizen would know how much a fee would be. Would that information be available on the website or on the citation.

Chief Jensen answered that just as the Police department is communicating the increase in fees in the current public manner, but it can be posted on the website and on social media.

The Council discussed whether a third tier is necessary or not. There were concerns of whether lower-income citizens could afford the third tier. And there were concerns of whether the system would be fair otherwise to those who did not pay on time.

Councilmember Jensen inquired on how many parking tickets are given per month.

Chief Jensen replied that there are four part-time parking enforcement officers for the entire city. The citations are into the hundreds.

Vice Chair Simmonds commented that as she walks her dog, she will see illegal parking.

Councilmember Jensen asked if the area around the University has the most citations.

Chief Jensen responded that there are various areas that have different citations and a report is sent to the mayor every month.

Vice Chair Simmonds asked if it is illegal to park in a home's yard.

Chief Jensen replied that is not a parking issue, but rather a code enforcement issue.

The proposed resolution will be an action item and public hearing at the February 15, 2022, Council meeting.

OTHER CONSIDERATIONS:

Councilmember A. Anderson announced that the Point-In-Time count for the homeless population was completed last week. She said it is important that the community recognizes and is aware that this is an issue. There are currently 39 families that have lost their housing or are in jeopardy of losing their housing. With the housing shortage and more and more rent that is going up, there will be more families that are in jeopardy of losing their housing and this is a concern.

There were no further considerations addressed by the Council.

ADJOURNED. There being no further business, the Logan Municipal Council adjourned at 7:26 pm.

Esli Morales, Deputy City Recorder